

22

PATENT  
Customer No. 22,852  
Attorney Docket No. 4329.2683

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:	)	
	)	
Tsunetoshi ARIKADO et al.	)	
	)	
Serial No.: 09/964,759	)	
	)	
Filed: September 28, 2001	)	Group Art Unit: 3627
	)	
For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE,	)	Examiner: Andrew J. Rudy
SALES METHOD FOR	)	
SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE,	)	
SALES SYSTEM FOR	)	
SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE AND	)	
PROGRAM PRODUCT STORING	)	
SALES PROGRAM FOR	)	
SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE	)	

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION OF AUGUST 3, 2005**

In the Office Action mailed August 3, 2005, the period for response to which extends through September 6, 2005 (September 3 and September 5, 2005, being Saturday and a Federal Holiday, respectively), the Examiner indicated that the reply filed on June 10, 2005, was not fully responsive because the substitute Abstract submitted in that reply did not fully comply with M.P.E.P. § 608.01(b).

As the Examiner suggested, Applicants submit a new substitute Abstract. Applicants submit that the attached new substitute Abstract fully complies with the requirements of M.P.E.P. § 608.01(b).


Applicants respectfully request consideration of the attached new substitute Abstract as well as the Amendment filed on February 16, 2005, and timely allowance of the application.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: September 6, 2005

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Qingyu Yin  
Ltd. Rec. No.: L0222

**Attachment: Substitute Abstract**